	1
1	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
	PUBLIC SCOPING MEETINGS FOR EXPANSION
2	OF THE STRATEGIC PETROLEUM RESERVE
	JACKSON, MISSISSIPPI
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
	******
8	
	Taken at the
9	Jackson Marriott Downtown,
	200 East Amite Street,
10	Jackson, Mississippi,
10	on Tuesday, October 18, 2005,
11	
12	beginning at approximately 7:00 p.m.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
	CHRISTY R. SIEVERT, CSR, RPR
23	PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTING SERVICES
	Registered Professional Reporter
24	Certified Shorthand Reporter
	Post Office Box 320928
25	Jackson, Mississippi 39232-0928
	•
	2
1	MR. JACK MOODY: My name is Jack
2	Moody. I'm from the Mississippi Development
3	Authority. And I certainly appreciate the DOE
4	giving us the opportunity to have some input into
5	the process tonight.
6	I couldn't help but think as you
7	reviewed the history of the SPR development and
8	I took the liberty of reading on your website. I
9	saw that many presidents cogitated over this good
7	- 30.00 - 1100 - 1100 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 -

idea for a long time, and it was studied before itwas implemented.

12 And that has a lot in common with 13 Richton Dome. It, too, has been studied for a 14 long time and cogitated over, and hopefully we 15 might see a successful operation here.

15 might see a successful operation here.
16 Understanding a little bit of the
17 necessities that the DOE finds when evaluating a
18 project in different locations of the country, we
19 can appreciate that environmentally -- I am sure
20 that if your operation comes to Mississippi, you
21 will also receive the highest reward for
22 environmental stewardship in our state. Your
23 track record is impressive, and no doubt you will
24 be able to operate here.

If you can operate that well in the

3

1 conditions that y'all place yourself in in the

2 wetlands of the coastal regions, then I'm sure

3 that the upland locations that we have here in

4 Mississippi to offer should be another example of

5 your pristine stewardship.

25

Having looked at your diagram in the back, and knowing that your distribution capabilities of a million barrels a day could be met by the plants that y'all have developed, certainly would meet one of those aspects, one of your important aspects.

The risk of the project, we would
look at it we're not prejudiced, of course. But
looking at the present distribution of the SPR, we
would certainly look toward Richton as a stable
site if we ever had -- may we never have another
hurricane season as we have had, but nevertheless
an upland -- a more upland component situated in a
geologically stable platform we hope would have
certain attributes and certain values that could
be put into the equation.

And if we understand correctly, it

And if we understand correctly, it
would also -- Mississippi would help in maybe the
symmetry of distribution of the SPR reserves and
perhaps would be coming toward the eastern side

2 border. 3 And of course, there is a cost 4 component to this. And we've looked at the cost, 5 and we have quite a bit of pipeline, but when that 6 is said and done, we have pipeline mainly in 7 upland situations. We've created an 8 infrastructure that: You build it, they'll come. 9 You're placing new capabilities within our state. 10 At the end of the day, the distribution meets your 11 needs. 12 You also are connected to one of the 13 largest refineries in the United States. And 14 again, refineries seem to be geographically 15 concentrated in the area of the SPR. 16 And we hope that beyond straight 17 dollar outlay for developing an infrastructure 18 that could reach out to the cap line, as well as 19 the large Chevron refinery, that this would play 20 well in the evaluation. 21 And I think as the Governor has asked 22 and all that Mississippi is asking, that we get a 23 fair shot, give due considerations given to all 24 the variables and make your best decision for 25 what's good for America. 5 MS. POLLY QUICK: Thank you, 1 2 Mr. Moody. 3 I have another speaker, Chad Cornett, 4 if you'll also let us know what your organization 5 is. 6 MR. CHAD CORNETT: Good evening. I'm 7 Chad Cornett. I'm with the Area Development 8 Partnership, the acting agent for the local Board 9 of Supervisors of Perry County in which the 10 Richton site resides. And on behalf of the Board 11 of Supervisors and the greater Hattiesburg area, 12 we welcome you and we thank you for the 13 opportunity to give our insight. 14 As a part of that, we would like to 15 offer our political support with the resolution 16 recommendations and the further submission of 17 written reports of the 28th deadline. We thank 18 you for the opportunity. And we'd like to echo the State's 19 20 initiatives of your positive ripple effects that

21 22 23 24 25	this plan may provide in the site selection and appreciate your environmental soundness. And again, we'll echo those in written reports. Thank you.  MS. POLLY QUICK: Thank you,
23	Wis. Fold Figure 1. Thank you,
1	Mr. Cornett.
2	And does anyone else have some
3	comments you would like to make as we scope this
4	Environmental Impact Study? Anything that you
5	want the team to be sure to consider? Come up and
6	make your oral suggestions now or drop them in
7	writing back there or pick up the information and
8	send them before the 28th. We'd like to capture
9	it if it is a suggestion.
10	MR. JULIUS RIDGWAY: My name is
11	Julius Ridgway. I live in Jackson. My family has
12	owned interest in this area for many decades and
13	have followed the activities and the research on
14	this dome, and we have cooperated with the DOE in
15	their efforts to try to acquire the site back in
16	1991 and '92.
17	And I'd just like to indicate that we
18	own 75 percent of the salt and storage rights
19	under this site, and we want to indicate our
20	cooperation and support of your project and
21	indicate support and willingness to work with the
22	service owner in this project. Thank you.
23	MS. POLLY QUICK: Thank you, sir.
24	Anybody else?
25	MAYOR JIMMY WHITE: I'm Jimmy White.
	7
1	I'm the mayor of the town of Richton. Although
2	the salt dome is not inside the city limits, it is
3	in close proximity to the city limits, within a
4	mile. Obviously we are concerned any time that
5	anything comes up pertaining to the salt dome.
6	Initially we feel that this is a very
7	laudable process to take and a laudable
8	undertaking for us, and it would be of extreme
9	value for our community and our town in offering
10 11	jobs that are much needed, as well as take care of
12	a national problem that we have with oil storage. So we do support it, at least at this time from
14	so we do support it, at least at this time from

13 what we know. Thank you. 14 MS. POLLY QUICK: Thank you, 15 Mr. Mayor. 16 Anyone else? 17 I think what I'll do, then, is close 18 the formal comment period part of this meeting, 19 and we'll go off the record. Thanks very much for 20 coming out. Please make sure that you get the 21 information at the back so that if you do have 22 comments to make in the next week and a half, 23 please take advantage of it. 24 We're looking forward to putting

8

- 1 potential impacts so that the decision makers can
- 2 take those into account when they make their

25 together a study that really looks at all the

3 decision about where the expansion will be.

4